

1 DEMAS YAN
2 100 Pine St #1250
3 San Francisco, CA 94111
4 Phone (415) 867-5797
5 Appellant in Pro Se

6
7
8
9
10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11 FOR NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

12 DEMAS YAN,
13
14 Appellant,
15
16 vs.
17
18 TONY FU et al.,
19 Appellees.

20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28 **Case No.: 3:11-CV-01814-RS**

DEMOS YAN'S RESPONSE TO ORDER TO
SHOW CAUSE

Date: October 27, 2017
Time: 11:00 am
Dept. F
Magistrate Judge: Jacqueline Corley

Appellant DEMAS YAN hereby files this response and accompanying Declaration in response to OSC.

CRYSTAL LEI'S ASSERTION THAT I HAVE YET TO PRODUCE FINANCIAL RECORDS
IS FALSE

I had timely complied with the court's August 10, 2017 order to produce documents by August 31, 2017. On August 31, 2017, I sent responses and documents by email to Crystal Lei's counsel Mark Serlin with responses to the categories¹ of documents with electronic copies of documents attached to the email. See Yan Dec., Ex. A. I had complied fully with Court's order to the best of my ability.

//

¹ In my email, I had identified eight categories of documents. I was not aware that there was not eight but nine categories as stated in the OSC.

1 I DID NOT KNOW THERE WAS OBJECTION TO MY RESPONSES.
2

3 If Crystal Lei or her counsel Mark Serlin had objections to my responses sent on August 31,
4 2017, I was not made aware of them. This is the first time, by this OSC, that I am aware of any
5 objections to my responses. There was no meet and confer or any communication from them
6 regarding the sufficiency or lack thereof of my responses.

7 I HAVE ATTEMPTED TO ANSWER THIS OSC AS I UNDERSTAND IT.

8
9 The OSC states that “Crystal Lei asserts that Mr. Yan has yet to produce the financial
10 documents.” Dkt. No. 64, p. 1:26. I believe that, by the foregoing responses and accompany
11 declaration and exhibit, I have responded substantively to this OSC. The OSC does not mention,
12 and I am not aware, that there is objection as to any claim of privilege, therefore I will not
13 address any issue that was not raised in the OSC other than the single allegation that “Mr. Yan
14 has yet to produce the financial documents.”

15 Date: 10/12/2017

16 Respectfully Submitted,

17
18 /s/Demas Yan
In Pro Se.